

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/751,185	FAIRBANKS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Winnie Yip	3637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Winnie Yip. (3) Mr. Standley.

(2) Mr. Norris. (4) Mr. Mollinger and Mr. Pelfrcy.

Date of Interview: 07 July 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Claimed siding example and Culpepper's example.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Culpepper '415, Johnstone '008 and Sweet Catalog 1995.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Attachment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Claim 1 is discussed. Applicant explained the different between the claimed invention and Culpepper 's siding such as the "slight curvature". Since the structure limitation between the claimed invention and the prior art are substantially close except the dimensions. It has no convincing to show the claims overcome the references. Applicant may file affidavit to sufficiently overcome references. A final decision will be made on the formal submission.